

REMARKS

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the foregoing, claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2-4, 6-10, 17-19, 21, 22 and 26 have been cancelled. Claim 1 is pending and under consideration.

NON-RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT

The Office Action mailed September 27, 2007 noted that the previous Amendment filed on September 19, 2007 was not responsive. Specifically, the Examiner note that "Requirement for Information" was not complete. The "Requirement for Information" section below has been revised to fully answer the interrogatories by number.

REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION

In the Office Action, on pages 2 and 3, the Examiner inquires under 37 CFR 1.105 about any additional patents applications filed in other countries having the same priority document (KR 2002-80340) as the present application, the status of those patent applications, and any Office Actions issued in those patent applications. It is respectfully submitted that all references material to the patentability of any pending claims have been submitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in Information Disclosure Statements. Specifically, in response to the Examiner's interrogatories:

1. Yes.

2 (JP). Japanese counterpart application, JP 2003-367978, filed on Oct. 28, 2003 in the Japanese Patent Office, had a 1st OA issued Feb. 22, 2005 and a final OA issued June 14, 2005. The 1st OA and references cited in the 1st OA were submitted to USPTO in an IDS on May 6, 2005. The final OA are submitted in an IDS concurrently herewith.

2 (CN). Chinese counterpart application, CN 03148539.1, filed on July 2, 2003 in the Chinese Patent Office, had a 1st OA issued June 24, 2005. The 1st OA and the references cited in the 1st OA were submitted to USPTO in an IDS on August 11, 2005.

3 (KR). Korean Patent Application No. 10-2002-0080340, filed Dec. 16, 2002, issued as Korean Patent No. 10-0471084 on Feb. 1, 2005.

3 (JP). Japanese Patent Application No. 2003-367978 is currently under appeal from a final rejection issued on issued June 14, 2005.

3 (CN). Chinese Patent Application No. 03148539.1 is pending. Specifically, a response to the 1st Chinese Office Action has been filed.

4. No, the Korean Intellectual Property Office did not issue any rejections in Korean Patent Application No. 10-2002-0080340.

5. See Question No. 4.

6. No, the applicant has not yet overcome the rejection from the Japanese Patent Office issued on February 22, 2005, as noted above, the final rejection is currently under appeal.

7. Yes.

8. A final OA was issued June 14, 2005. The final Japanese OA is submitted in an IDS concurrently herewith.

9. The Japanese Patent Office has not currently allowed any claims in JP 2003-367978.

10. See Question No. 9.

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show the galvanometer mirror and the prism recited in claims 1 and 26. Claim 26 has been cancelled. Regarding claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings are in compliance with 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the lightpath changing apparatus is shown in Figures 1 and 2, assigned the reference numeral 30, and discussed in the specification in paragraph [0022]. As noted in 37 CFR 1.83(a), conventional features may be shown in the drawings in a labeled representation.

Withdrawal of the foregoing objection is requested.

CLAIM OBJECTION

Claim 6 was objected to for being of improper dependent form. Claim 6 has been cancelled. Withdrawal of the foregoing objection is requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 17-19, 21 and 22 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Atsushi et al. (JP 10-257084) (hereinafter "Atsushi").

Claim 26 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsushi in view of Knox (US 3,882,273).

Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 26

Amended claim 1 recites: "...wherein the position controller moves the image displaying apparatus to a plurality of predetermined positions, the image taking apparatus takes an image of the displayed image at each of the plurality of the predetermined positions..." Support for this amendment may be found in at least original claims 2 and 3. In the Office Action, the Examiner relies on the data-processing section 15 of Atsushi to discuss the position controller of claim 1. However, in contrast to claim 1, the data-processing section 15 of Atsushi does not move the image displaying apparatus to a plurality of **predetermined positions**, so that the image taking apparatus may take an image of the displayed image at each of the plurality of the predetermined positions. In Atsushi, the data-processing section 15 instead calculates a pixel shift quantity in response to the contrast pattern of the image pickup object 16, generates z, y direction moving signals Sz, Sy in order to shift a position of the image pickup system B in the directions z, y so as to obtain a video signal VB resulting from applying pixel shift to a video signal VA of the image pickup system A. This technical feature of claim 1 provides a processed image in which a moiré of an image taken through an image taking apparatus from an image displaying apparatus is removed is promptly obtainable, and a quality of a taken image is increased.

Claims 2-4, 6-10 and 26 have been cancelled. Withdrawal of the foregoing rejection is requested.

Claims 17-19, 21 and 22

Claims 17-19, 21 and 22 have been cancelled.

CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: Oct 11, 2007

By: Gregory W. Harper
Gregory W. Harper
Registration No. 55,248

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501